

Student Feedback on Teachers
Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls
Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25
Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer : Dr K Bala Krishna

Subject : Telugu

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	2	2	11	25	71
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	1	3	8	11	89
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	1	3	10	22	75
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	2	2	8	16	84
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	2	2	7	21	79
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	1	3	8	17	83
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	2	3	4	25	78
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	3	9	17	83
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	0	4	10	20	78
10	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	1	3	7	15	87
11	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	1	3	6	19	82
12	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	1	3	8	13	87
13	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	1	3	7	21	79
14	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	4	2	7	18	82
15	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	3	3	7	20	78
16	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	2	4	8	14	84
17	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	1	4	7	21	78
18	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	1	5	6	16	84
19	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	4	2	8	19	79
20	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	2	3	9	15	83

Summary:

- **Strong Performance:** Majority of responses fall under **Excellent (V)** and **Very Good (IV)** categories.
- **Effective Teaching:** **89 students** rated the lecturer highly for generating interest in class.
- **Comprehensive Coverage:** **71 students** acknowledged **100% syllabus coverage**.
- **Subject Expertise:** High ratings in **depth of content (84)** and **updated knowledge (79)**.
- **Engaging Methods:** Effective use of **teaching aids (83)** and **Q&A sessions (83)**.
- **Student Encouragement:** High scores in **doubt clarification (78)** and **study projects (78)**.
- **Extracurricular Support:** Encourages activities but room for improvement (**84 in cultural engagement**).
- **Guidance & Accessibility:** Rated well for **career counseling (78)** and **academic support outside class (84)**.
- **Improvement Areas:** Slight scope for better **punctuality (78)** and **remedial coaching (82)**.
- **Overall Verdict:** Highly **effective, knowledgeable, and student-friendly lecturer**.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan
Punctuality (78 ratings in Excellent)	Reinforced the importance of time management; the lecturer has committed to stricter adherence to class timings.
Extracurricular Activities (84 ratings in Excellent)	Increased involvement in cultural and nation-building programs; more student participation encouraged.
Remedial Coaching (82 ratings in Excellent)	Additional remedial sessions scheduled for students requiring extra support.
Encouraging Q&A Sessions & Interactive Learning (83 ratings in Excellent)	More structured Q&A sessions, seminars, and group discussions integrated into the teaching plan.
Field Visits & Guest Lectures (87 ratings in Excellent)	More industry visits and guest lectures planned for upcoming semesters.

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers

Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls

Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25

Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer Dr T Naga Lakshmi

Subject Computer Science

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	2	2	7	19	43
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	1	2	3	11	52
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	1	3	5	17	45
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	1	4	6	13	48
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	1	4	4	16	45
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	1	4	7	13	48
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	1	3	6	20	42
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	3	7	14	48
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	1	3	6	19	43
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	1	3	5	13	49
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	1	5	7	18	42
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	1	6	7	13	46
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	1	7	5	13	45
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	1	7	6	16	42
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	1	7	5	19	39
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	1	6	7	11	48
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	1	6	4	13	46
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	1	6	6	13	46
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	1	6	6	18	41
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	1	4	6	13	48

Summary

- **Overall Performance:** The lecturer has received predominantly **Excellent (V)** and **Very Good (IV)** ratings, indicating strong teaching effectiveness and student engagement.
- **Syllabus Coverage:** **43 students** rated the lecturer as **Excellent** in covering **100% of the syllabus**, while **19 students** rated it as **Very Good**.
- **Clarity & Knowledge:** The lecturer demonstrates **clear expression (45 Excellent)**, strong
 - **usage & internet research (42 Excellent)**.
- **Extracurricular & Field Activities:**
 - High ratings in **extracurricular encouragement (48 Excellent)** and **arranging field visits & guest lectures (49 Excellent)**.
- **Areas for Improvement:**
 - **Punctuality (39 Excellent)** and **remedial coaching (42 Excellent)** have slightly lower ratings.
 - **More engagement in discussing test scripts (45 Excellent)** and **student accessibility outside the classroom (46 Excellent)** can be improved.



Areas for Improvement & Corrective Actions Taken

Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan
Remedial Coaching & Exam Preparation (42 Excellent, 16 Very Good)	Additional remedial sessions scheduled for weaker students. More practice materials and guidance for university exams will be provided.
Evaluation & Discussion of Test Scripts (45 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	More dedicated time allocated to discussing test scripts and providing feedback.
Accessibility Outside Class (46 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	Lecturer to allocate fixed office hours for student consultations outside regular class timings.
Field Visits & Guest Lectures (49 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	Plans to increase guest lectures from industry experts and more field visits for practical exposure.

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers

Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls
Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25
Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer : Dr K Sudharani

Subject : Commerce

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	2	0	6	6	67
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	1	1	6	3	70
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	1	1	4	7	68
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	1	1	5	10	64
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	1	1	5	9	65
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	1	1	5	8	66
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	1	1	5	9	65
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	1	5	11	63
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	1	1	5	10	64
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	1	1	5	9	65
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	1	1	5	14	60
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	1	1	5	10	64
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	1	1	5	9	65
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	1	1	6	9	64
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	1	1	6	12	61
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	1	1	6	11	62
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	1	1	6	11	62
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	1	1	6	12	61
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	1	1	6	16	57
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	1	1	6	9	64

Summary:

- **High Ratings in Excellence:** Majority of students rated the lecturer as **Excellent (V)** in all aspects, with scores consistently above 60.
- **Subject Knowledge & Teaching Methods:** The lecturer is **well-updated (65 Excellent)** and uses **effective teaching models and aids (63 Excellent)**.
- **Extracurricular & Career Guidance:** Rated highly in **extracurricular encouragement (62 Excellent)**, **counseling (62 Excellent)**, and **student accessibility (61 Excellent)**.
- **Areas for Improvement:**
 - **Punctuality (61 Excellent)** and **remedial coaching (64 Excellent)** have scope for improvement.
 - **Encouragement for individual student support (57 Excellent)** has the lowest "Excellent" rating.
 - **Library and internet guidance (60 Excellent)** could be further enhanced.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Punctuality to Classes	Lecturer advised to adhere to a stricter schedule. Class timing monitoring introduced.
Remedial Coaching & Exam Preparation	Additional remedial sessions scheduled for weaker students. Personalized coaching introduced.
Caring & Supportive Interaction with Students	Lecturer encouraged to conduct individual mentoring sessions for student concerns.
Library & Internet Guidance for Study	More structured library sessions and online research assignments introduced.
Field Visits & Guest Lectures	More industry expert lectures and field visits planned to enhance practical learning.
Accessibility Outside the Classroom	Lecturer to allocate fixed office hours for student consultations.

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers
Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls
Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25
Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer : Sri P N V Prasada Rao

Subject : Mathematics

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	0	1	2	15	48
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	0	1	2	10	53
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	0	1	2	14	49
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	1	0	2	9	54
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	1	0	3	16	46
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	1	0	4	10	51
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	1	1	4	16	44
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	1	4	11	49
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	1	0	4	21	40
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	1	0	4	15	46
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	1	0	4	18	43
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	0	1	4	10	51
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	0	1	5	20	40
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	0	1	4	18	43
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	0	1	3	21	41
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	0	1	3	16	46
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	0	1	3	15	47
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	0	1	3	12	50
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	0	1	3	18	44
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	0	1	4	14	47

Summary:

- **High Ratings in Excellence:** Most students rated the lecturer as **Excellent (V)**, with scores ranging from **40 to 54** in various aspects.
- **Strong Teaching Effectiveness:** High ratings for **syllabus coverage (48 Excellent)**, **student engagement (53 Excellent)**, and **subject depth explanation (54 Excellent)**.
- **Student Support & Encouragement:**
 - **Encouraging questions (44 Excellent)** and **supervising study projects (40 Excellent)** show good student interaction.
 - **Providing remedial coaching (43 Excellent)** and **career guidance (47 Excellent)** received positive responses.
- **Areas for Improvement:**
 - **Test script discussions (40 Excellent)** and **supervision of study projects (40 Excellent)** need enhancement.
 - **Accessibility outside class (50 Excellent)** could be improved for better student interaction.
 - **Guiding students in research/library use (43 Excellent)** could be structured more effectively.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan
Remedial Coaching & Exam Preparation (42 Excellent, 16 Very Good)	Additional remedial sessions scheduled for weaker students. More practice materials and guidance for university exams will be provided.
Evaluation & Discussion of Test Scripts (45 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	More dedicated time allocated to discussing test scripts and providing feedback.
Accessibility Outside Class (46 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	Lecturer to allocate fixed office hours for student consultations outside regular class timings.
Field Visits & Guest Lectures (49 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	Plans to increase guest lectures from industry experts and more field visits for practical exposure.
Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers

Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls

Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25

Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer : Sri M Aruna

Subject : Physics

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	0	1	2	8	13
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	1	0	2	8	13
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	1	0	2	6	15
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	1	0	1	8	14
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	1	0	2	9	12
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	1	0	2	10	11
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	1	0	2	10	11
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	0	1	9	13
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	0	1	1	12	10
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	1	0	2	11	10
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	1	0	2	13	8
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	1	0	2	9	12
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	1	0	1	13	9
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	1	0	0	10	13
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	1	0	1	12	10
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	1	0	1	12	10
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	1	0	1	12	10
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	1	0	0	10	13
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	0	1	0	11	12
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	0	1	1	8	14

Summary:

- **Majority of Responses in "Very Good" and "Excellent"**
 - Most students rated the lecturer as **Excellent (V)**, with values ranging between **8 and 15** across different parameters.
 - **Syllabus coverage (13 Excellent), clarity of expression (15 Excellent), and subject depth (14 Excellent)** received strong ratings.
- **Teaching Effectiveness & Engagement**
 - **Generating student interest (13 Excellent), encouraging questions (11 Excellent), and use of teaching aids (13 Excellent)** were positively rated.
 - **Supervision of study projects (10 Excellent) and arranging guest lectures (10 Excellent)** show areas for improvement.
- **Student Support & Guidance**
 - **Guiding students on library and internet research (8 Excellent) needs better focus.**
 - **Providing remedial coaching (13 Excellent) and career guidance (10 Excellent)** has room for improvement.
 - **Encouraging extracurricular activities (10 Excellent) and accessibility outside class (13 Excellent)** are satisfactory but could be enhanced.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Aspect for Improvement	Action Plan
Use of diverse teaching methods (Q&A, seminars, discussions)	Increase frequency of interactive sessions to engage students.
Encouraging students to ask questions	Create a more open environment for discussions and Q&A.
Arranging field visits & guest lectures	Expand collaborations with industry experts and institutions.
Encouraging class seminars	Schedule more student-led presentations to build confidence.
Accessibility outside class for academic discussions	Establish dedicated consultation hours for students.
Caring & supportive attitude towards students	Strengthen mentoring and individual student support.
Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers

Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls

Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25

Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer : Smt P S Satyavani

Subject : Commerce

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	1	0	7	4	61
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	0	1	6	7	59
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	0	1	7	6	59
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	0	1	8	11	53
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	0	1	7	10	55
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	0	1	9	7	56
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	0	1	7	9	56
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	0	1	8	6	58
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	0	1	8	8	56
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	0	1	6	9	57
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	0	1	8	10	54
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	0	1	9	9	54
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	0	1	8	9	55
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	0	1	10	5	57
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	0	1	8	6	58
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	0	1	9	5	58
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	0	1	9	4	59
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	0	1	9	7	56
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	0	1	9	6	57
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	0	1	8	8	56

Summary: • Majority Ratings in "Very Good" (IV) and "Excellent" (V)

- **Syllabus Coverage (61 Excellent), Interest Generation (59 Excellent), and Clarity (59 Excellent)** received strong positive ratings.
 - **Subject Depth (53 Excellent), Use of Teaching Aids (58 Excellent), and Encouraging Questions (56 Excellent)** indicate high student satisfaction.
- **Teaching Methods & Student Engagement**
- **Use of diverse teaching methods (56 Excellent), supervision of study projects (56 Excellent), and encouraging class seminars (54 Excellent)** show strong engagement.
 - **Efforts in arranging field visits (57 Excellent)** need slight improvement.
- **Student Support & Guidance**
- **Guidance on library use (54 Excellent), test evaluation discussions (55 Excellent), and remedial coaching (57 Excellent)** show scope for enhancement.
 - **Counseling and career guidance (59 Excellent) and accessibility outside class (56 Excellent)** remain satisfactory.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan
Remedial Coaching & Exam Preparation (42 Excellent, 16 Very Good)	Additional remedial sessions scheduled for weaker students. More practice materials and guidance for university exams will be provided.
Evaluation & Discussion of Test Scripts (45 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	More dedicated time allocated to discussing test scripts and providing feedback.
Accessibility Outside Class (46 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	Lecturer to allocate fixed office hours for student consultations outside regular class timings.
Field Visits & Guest Lectures (49 Excellent, 13 Very Good)	Plans to increase guest lectures from industry experts and more field visits for practical exposure.
Key Areas for Improvement	Action Taken / Plan

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers
Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls
Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25
Semester (1,3,5)

Name of the lecturer : Smt M.Divya


Subject : Computer Applications

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	1	1	9	11	40
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	0	2	9	6	45
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	0	2	8	7	45
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	0	2	8	9	43
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	0	2	8	8	44
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	0	2	8	11	41
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	0	2	8	6	46
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	1	8	10	42
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	1	1	8	6	46
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	0	2	8	8	44
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	0	2	9	7	44
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	0	2	9	8	43
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	0	2	9	9	42
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	0	2	8	8	44
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	0	2	8	8	44
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	0	2	8	7	45
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	0	2	8	7	45
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	0	2	8	8	44
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	0	2	8	6	46
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	0	2	8	6	46

Summary:

- **Strong Positive Ratings in "Very Good" (IV) and "Excellent" (V)**

- Syllabus Coverage (40 Excellent), Interest Generation (45 Excellent), and Clarity of Expression (45 Excellent) remain well-rated.
- Subject Depth Explanation (43 Excellent), Use of Teaching Aids (42 Excellent), and Encouraging Questions (46 Excellent) are notable strengths.
- **Teaching Methods & Student Engagement**
 - Use of diverse teaching methods (41 Excellent), study project supervision (46 Excellent), and encouragement of class seminars (43 Excellent) show good engagement.
 - Efforts in arranging field visits (44 Excellent) show some room for enhancement.
- **Student Support & Guidance**
 - Guidance on library and internet use (44 Excellent), test evaluation discussions (42 Excellent), and remedial coaching (44 Excellent) are stable but can be improved.
 - Counseling and career guidance (45 Excellent) and accessibility outside class (44 Excellent) are strong.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Aspect for Improvement	Action Plan
Use of diverse teaching methods (Q&A, seminars, discussions)	Increase frequency of interactive sessions to engage students.
Encouraging students to ask questions	Create a more open environment for discussions and Q&A.
Arranging field visits & guest lectures	Expand collaborations with industry experts and institutions.
Encouraging class seminars	Schedule more student-led presentations to build confidence.
Accessibility outside class for academic discussions	Establish dedicated consultation hours for students.
Caring & supportive attitude towards students	Strengthen mentoring and individual student support.

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers
Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls
Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25
Semester (1,3,5)

Name of the lecturer : Smt A L Lavanya

Subject : Computer Science


SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	0	1	8	18	40
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	0	0	8	11	48
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	0	0	8	18	41
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	0	0	7	19	41
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	0	0	9	14	44
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	0	0	9	16	42
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	0	0	7	22	38
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	0	0	6	16	45
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	0	0	5	17	45
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	0	0	5	13	49
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	0	0	4	17	46
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	0	0	5	14	48
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	0	0	4	17	46
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	0	0	5	13	49
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	0	0	5	22	40
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	0	0	6	15	46
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	0	0	6	20	41
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	0	0	7	15	45
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	0	0	6	20	41
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	0	0	6	19	42

Summary:

- The lecturer has received **strong positive feedback**, with the majority of responses falling into the "Very Good (IV)" and "Excellent (V)" categories.

- **Key Strengths:**

- **Syllabus Coverage:** 40 rated **Excellent**.
- **Interest Generation:** 48 rated **Excellent**.
- **Clarity of Expression:** 41 rated **Excellent**.
- **Depth of Subject Explanation:** 41 rated **Excellent**.
- **Use of Teaching Aids:** 45 rated **Excellent**.
- **Supervision of Study Projects:** 45 rated **Excellent**.
- **Field Visits & Guest Lectures:** 49 rated **Excellent**.
- **Library & Internet Guidance:** 46 rated **Excellent**.
- **Test Evaluation & Discussions:** 46 rated **Excellent**.
- **Remedial Coaching & University Exam Prep:** 49 rated **Excellent**.



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Aspect for Improvement	Action Plan
Use of diverse teaching methods (Q&A, seminars, discussions)	Increase frequency of interactive sessions to engage students.
Encouraging students to ask questions	Create a more open environment for discussions and Q&A.
Arranging field visits & guest lectures	Expand collaborations with industry experts and institutions.
Encouraging class seminars	Schedule more student-led presentations to build confidence.
Accessibility outside class for academic discussions	Establish dedicated consultation hours for students.
Caring & supportive attitude towards students	Strengthen mentoring and individual student support.

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal

Student Feedback on Teachers
Social Welfare Residential Govt. Degree College for Girls
Kanchikacherla ,NTR District. A.P



S
W
R
G
D
C

Academic Year: 2024-25
Semester (1,3,5)


Name of the lecturer : Dr Y Sumithra

Subject : English

SNo		I - Poor	II - Average	III - Good	IV - Very Good	V - Excellent
1.	How well does the lecturer cover 100% of the syllabus?	2	0	9	21	72
2.	How effectively does the lecturer generate interest in students while teaching?	0	2	8	10	84
3.	How clear is the lecturer's expression while teaching the topic?	0	2	8	17	77
4.	How well is the depth of subject content explained?	1	1	9	15	78
5.	How updated is the lecturer regarding the latest developments in the subject?	1	1	9	19	74
6.	How often does the lecturer use other teaching methods like seminars, Q&A sessions, and group discussions?	1	1	8	19	75
7.	How well does the lecturer encourage students to ask questions and clarify doubts?	0	2	9	13	80
8.	How effectively does the lecturer use teaching models and aids?	1	1	9	16	77
9.	How well does the lecturer encourage and supervise study projects?	1	1	7	17	78
10.	How much effort does the lecturer put into arranging field visits and inviting guest lecturers?	1	1	8	19	75
11.	How well does the lecturer guide students in reading library books and using the internet for study purposes?	1	1	6	13	83
12.	How effectively does the lecturer encourage students to give class seminars?	0	2	8	15	79
13.	How seriously does the lecturer evaluate and discuss test answer scripts with students?	1	1	7	23	72
14.	How effective is the lecturer in providing remedial coaching and preparing students for university exams?	1	1	9	20	73
15.	How punctual is the lecturer to the classes?	1	1	9	21	72
16.	How enthusiastic is the lecturer in encouraging extracurricular activities such as cultural events, community service, and nation-building programs?	0	2	9	18	75
17.	How effective is the lecturer in providing counseling and career guidance?	1	1	6	20	76
18.	How accessible is the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interactions?	1	1	5	15	82
19.	How caring and supportive is the lecturer while dealing with individual students?	1	1	6	18	78
20.	What is your overall opinion of the lecturer?	0	2	7	14	81

Summary:

- **Syllabus Coverage:** 72 rated **Excellent**
- **Generating Interest in Students:** 84 rated **Excellent**
- **Clarity of Teaching Expression:** 77 rated **Excellent**
- **Depth of Subject Explanation:** 78 rated **Excellent**
- **Use of Teaching Models & Aids:** 77 rated **Excellent**
- **Encouraging Student Questions & Doubts:** 80 rated **Excellent**
- **Supervision of Study Projects:** 78 rated **Excellent**
- **Effort in Arranging Field Visits & Guest Lectures:** 75 rated **Excellent**
- **Guidance on Library & Internet Usage:** 83 rated **Excellent**
- **Test Evaluation & Discussion:** 72 rated **Excellent**
- **Accessibility Outside Class for Interactions:** 82 rated **Excellent**
- **Overall Lecturer Rating:** 81 rated **Excellent**



Areas for Improvement & Action Taken

Area for Improvement	Suggested Action
Use of Interactive Teaching Methods (Seminars, Q&A, Group Discussions)	Increase frequency and encourage more student participation.
Effort in Arranging Field Visits & Guest Lectures	Expand collaborations with industry professionals.
Providing Counseling & Career Guidance	Conduct more personalized guidance sessions.
Caring & Supportive Attitude Toward Students	Strengthen mentoring and individualized student support.

Dr V. Neeraja

Principal